Quasi Resonance State in 3N System -- He calls me Mr. Singularity --

H. Kamada Kyushu institute of technology

LENPIC 2022 Conference in Bochum 24-26 Aug. 2022

He is Walter Glöckle.

0

Outline



Our Bible



PHYSICS REPORTS

Physics Reports 274 (1996) 107-285

The three-nucleon continuum: achievements, challenges and applications

W. Glöckle^a, H. Witała^b, D. Hüber^a, H. Kamada^{a,1}, J. Golak^b

^a Institut für theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany ^b Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, PL-30059 Cracow, Poland

Received November 1995; editor: G.E. Brown

we end up with

$$T\phi = tP\phi + tP \ G_0 T\phi \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{t}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}'}\left(p,p',E-\frac{3}{4m}q^2\right) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{t}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}'}(p,p',E-(3/4m)q^2)}{E+i\epsilon-(3/4m)q^2-\epsilon_d} & \text{for } \alpha = \alpha_d\\ \tilde{t} = \hat{t} & \text{for } \alpha \neq \alpha_d \end{cases}$$
(188)

It results

$$\langle pq\alpha | \hat{T} | \phi \rangle = \langle pq\alpha | \hat{t}P | \phi \rangle + \sum_{\alpha'} \sum_{\alpha''} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq' \, q'^{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \, \frac{\hat{t}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}'}(p, \pi_{1}, E - (3/4m)q^{2})}{\pi_{1}^{l'}} \\ \times G_{\alpha'\alpha''}(qq'x) \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - q^{2}/m - q'^{2}/m - qq'x/m} \\ \times \left(\delta_{\alpha''\alpha_{d}} \frac{\langle \pi_{2}q'\alpha'' | \hat{T} | \phi \rangle}{\pi_{2}^{l''}} \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - (3/4m)q^{2} - \epsilon_{d}} + \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha''\alpha_{d}} \frac{\langle \pi_{2}q'\alpha'' | \hat{T} | \phi \rangle}{\pi_{2}^{l''}} \right)$$
(189)

W. Glöckle et al. / Physics Reports 274 (1996) 107-285

$$\frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - p''^{2}/m - (3/4m)q''^{2}} \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - (3/4m)q''^{2} - \epsilon_{d}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - p''^{2}/m - (3/4m)q''^{2}} - \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - (3/4m)q''^{2} - \epsilon_{d}} \right\} \frac{1}{p''^{2}/m - \epsilon_{d}}$$
(200)

145

Since $\epsilon_d < 0$ the last factor is nonsingular and well behaved. The first part on the right hand side can be treated as above using Eq. (197), which just leads to a simple pole. In the second part one can use the first type of permutation operator given in Eq. (162), which does not affect q'' and again one just encounters a simple pole. This form has been applied in [237] to realistic calculations. Though the

Complex Energy Method

Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 109, No. 5, May 2003, Letters

Complex Energy Method for Scattering Processes

Hiroyuki KAMADA,^{1,*)} Yasuro KOIKE^{2,3,**)} and Walter GLÖCKLE^{4,***)}

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan

 ²Science Research Center, Hosei University, Tokyo 102-8160, Japan
 ³Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Wako 351-0198, Japan
 ⁴Institut f
ür Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universit
ät Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany



PHYSICAL REVIEW C 68, 061001(R) (2003)

Complex energy method in four-body Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations

E. Uzu*

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

H. Kamada Department of Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, 1-1 Sensuicho, Tobata, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan

> Y. Koike Science Research Center, Hosei University, 2-17-1 Fujimi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8160, Japan and Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan (Received 1 October 2003; published 4 December 2003)

The Complex Energy Method [Prog. Theor. Phys. **109**, 869L (2003)] is applied to the four-body Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations in the four-nucleon system. We obtain a well converged solution in all energy regions below and above the four-nucleon breakup threshold.



FIG. 4. Illustration of threshold energies for the 4N system. We choose E=0 at the four-body threshold. The various energies for the calculations are measured relative to the thresholds. The crosses indicate the complex energies where we solve the FY equations in the CEM. They are numbered by n for each choice of the energy region (i–iv).

Coulomb Transformation

Few-Body Syst (2013) 54:1629–1632 DOI 10.1007/s00601-012-0554-4

M. Yamaguchi · H. Kamada · W. Glöckle

Coulombic Transformation in Momentum Space

$$H = H_0 + V^C + V^S \xrightarrow{CFtrans} \mathcal{H} = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^C | H | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^C \rangle = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}$$
(1)

.

with
$$\mathcal{T} = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^C | (H_0 + V^C) | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^C \rangle = \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2\mu} \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \text{ and } \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^C | V^S | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^C \rangle,$$
 (2)



Fig. 1 The transformed Malfliet-Tjon potential (real part) at x' = 1

Faddeev Equations for Excited States

Faddeev Eq.

Faddeev Equation $\mathcal{H} = H_0 + V_1 + V_2 + V_3$ $\mathcal{H}\Psi = E\Psi$ $(E - H_0)\Psi = (V_1 + V_2 + V_3)\Psi$ Faddeev Component: ψ_i $\psi_i \equiv \frac{1}{E - H_0} V_i \Psi = G_0 V_i \Psi,$ $\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 = \frac{1}{E - H_0} (V_1 + V_2 + V_3) \Psi = \Psi$ $\psi_i = G_0 V_i \Psi = G_0 V_i (\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3) = G_0 V_i (1+P) \psi_i$ $\psi = G_0 V (1+P)\psi,$ $(1 - G_0 V)\psi = G_0 V\psi$ $\psi = G_0 t P \psi$ $(1 - G_0 V)^{-1} G_0 V \equiv G_0 t,$ $(1 - G_0 V)G_0 t = G_0 V$ $t = V + VG_0t$ t:t-matrix $\psi = G_0 t P \psi$

Unphysical pole

 $\eta \psi = G_0 t P \psi$ $\eta(E_b) = 1$ Iteration ; $E = E_b + \delta E$ $\psi^{(i+1)} = G_0 t P \psi^{(i)}$ $\eta \equiv \frac{\psi^{(i+1)}(p_0, q_0; \alpha_0)}{\psi^{(i)}(p_0, q_0; \alpha_0)},$

We often get a negative eigen value. $\eta_{neg} < 0$ $\eta \to -1.7, \quad i \to \infty$ $\eta \equiv \frac{\psi^{(i+1)} - \eta_{neg}\psi^{(i)}}{(1 - \eta_{neg})\psi^{(i)}},$ $\psi^{(i+1)} \leftarrow G_0 t P \frac{\psi^{(i+1)} - \eta_{neg}\psi^{(i)}}{(1 - \eta_{neg})}$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= G_0 t P \psi \\ (1 - G_0 t P) \psi &= 0, D(E) = det[1 - G_0 t P] = 0. \\ D(E_g) &= 0, \quad E_g = E_b \quad \text{Ground bound state} \\ D(E_x) &= 0. \quad E_x \quad \text{Excited state} \end{aligned}$$

Faddeev Equations for Excited States

There is a question: $\langle \psi_g | \psi_x \rangle = 0$? $\mathcal{H}\Psi_g = E_g \Psi_g, \mathcal{H}\Psi_x = E_x \Psi_x$ $0 = \langle \Psi_g | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_x \rangle - \langle \Psi_g | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_x \rangle = (E_g - E_x) \langle \Psi_g | \Psi_x \rangle E_g \neq E_x \rightarrow \langle \Psi_g | \Psi_x \rangle = 0$. $0 = \langle \psi_x | G_0 tP | \psi_g \rangle - \langle \psi_x | G_0 tP | \psi_g \rangle = \langle \psi_x | \psi_g \rangle - \langle \psi_x | \psi_g \rangle = 0$?? Even $G_0 tP$ is not Hermite.

Orthogonality

A Solution;

$$\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 = \Psi = (1+P)\psi$$

 $\langle \Psi_g | \Psi_x \rangle = \langle \Psi_g | (1+P) | \psi_x \rangle = 3 \langle \Psi_g | \psi_x \rangle = 0$

In general,
$$\langle \psi_g | \psi_{ex} \rangle \neq 0$$
.
But $\langle \Psi_g | \psi_x \rangle = 0$
 $\psi' = \psi - \langle \Psi_g | \psi \rangle \Psi_g$, (Projection)
 $\langle \Psi_g | \psi' \rangle = \langle \Psi_g | \{ \psi - \langle \Psi_g | \psi \rangle \Psi_g \} \rangle = \langle \Psi_g | \psi \rangle - \langle \Psi_g | \psi \rangle \langle \Psi_g | \Psi_g \rangle = 0$

 $\psi^{(i+1)} \leftarrow \psi^{(i+1)} \cdot \langle \Psi_g | \psi^{(i+1)} \rangle \Psi_g$

Potential	Binding Energy [MeV]	Quasi Energy [MeV]
Paris	-7.46	19.84 + i 4.01
AV18	-7.83	23.91 + i 5.09
Nijmegen 93	-7.86	23.80 + i 5.18
Nijmegen I	-8.01	22.39 + i 5.32
Nijmegen II	-7.90	24.40 + i 5.04
CDBonn	-8.25	20.43 + i 5.01





Low-momentum NN interaction

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 70, 024003 (2004)

Low-momentum nucleon-nucleon interaction and its application to few-nucleon systems

S. Fujii* Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

E. Epelbaum[†] Jefferson Laboratory, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

H. Kamada,[‡] R. Okamoto,[§] and K. Suzuki^{II} Department of Physics, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan

W. Glöckle[¶] Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany (Received 28 April 2004; published 26 August 2004)



Three- and Four-Nucleon Systems from Chiral Effective Field Theory

E. Epelbaum,¹ H. Kamada,^{1,2} A. Nogga,² H. Witała,³ W. Glöckle,² and Ulf-G. Meißner¹ ¹Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut für Kernphysik (Theorie), D-52425 Jülich, Germany ²Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany ³Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, PL-30059 Cracow, Poland (Received 26 July 2000)

Recently developed chiral nucleon-nucleon (NN) forces at next-to-leading order (NLO), that descr NN phase shifts up to about 100 MeV fairly well, have been applied to 3N and 4N systems. Fadde Yakubovsky equations have been solved rigorously. The refultive in back dro energies are in same range as found using standard NN potentials. In padipian logner the ³N eatpring observat are very well reproduced as for standard NN forces. The long-standing A_y puzzle is absent at NLO. The cutoff dependence of the scattering observables is rather weak.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4787

PACS numbers: 21.45.+v, 21.30.-x, 25.10.+s, 27.10

Three- and Four-Nucleon Systems from Chiral Effective Field Theory

E. Epelbaum,¹ H. Kamada,^{1,2} A. Nogga,² H. Witała,³ W. Glöckle,² and Ulf-G. Meißner¹ ¹Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut für Kernphysik (Theorie), D-52425 Jülich, Germany ²Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany ³Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, PL-30059 Cracow, Poland (Received 26 July 2000)

Recently developed chiral nucleon-nucleon (NN) forces at next-to-leading order (NLO), that descr NN phase shifts up to about 100 MeV fairly well, have been applied to 3N and 4N systems. Fadde Yakubovsky equations have been solved rigorously. The resulting 3N and 4N binding energies are in same range as found using standard NN potentials. In addition, low-energy 3N scattering observat are very well reproduced as for standard NN forces. The long-standing A_y puzzle is absent at NLO. The cutoff dependence of the scattering observables is rather weak.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4787

PACS numbers: 21.45.+v, 21.30.-x, 25.10.+s, 27.10



servables rather quantitatively. On top of that, the chiral force predictions are now significantly higher in the maxima of A_y than for CD-Bonn and break the long-standing situation that all standard realistic *NN* forces up to now underpredict the maxima by about 30%. This is called the A_y puzzle [21]. We are now in fact rather close to the experimental *nd* values. Since we restrict ourselves to NLO we cannot expect a final answer from the point of view of chiral dynamics, but this result for A_y is very interesting.





Ay puzzle



Ay puzzle









Summary

• Ay puzzle might be linked to the Quasi resonance pole.

• It may be necessary to adjust the cutoff function of the chiral potential.

• The Coulomb problem needs to work out.