Threshold resummation of heavy coloured particle cross sections

Pietro Falgari

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Universiteit Utrecht

"Strong interactions: From methods to structures" 12-16 February 2011

Based on <u>M. Beneke, PF, S. Klein, C. Schwinn</u> [Nucl. Phys. B828: 69-101, 2010], [Nucl. Phys. B842: 414-474, 2011] and work in preparation

Motivation

IN THIS TALK: pair production of coloured heavy particles at Tevatron/LHC

 $N_1N_2
ightarrow H(p_1)H^{'}(p_2) + X$ $H, H^{'} = {
m top, squarks, gluinos...}$

accurate theoretical predictions for the cross section **phenomenologically important** (sensitivity to **mass parameters, exclusion bounds, model discrimination**...)



+ theoretically interesting due to **non-trivial colour exchange**

Soft-gluon and Coulomb corrections

NLO partonic cross sections enhanced near threshold, $\beta \equiv \sqrt{1 - (m_H + m_{H'})^2/\hat{s}} \rightarrow 0$

- Threshold logarithms: ~ αⁿ_s ln^m β
 ⇔ soft-gluon exchange between initial-initial, initial-final (α_s ln^{2,1} β) and final-final state particles (α_s ln β)
- Coulomb corrections: ~ (α_s/β)ⁿ
 ⇔ static interaction of slowly-moving heavy particles (mediated by potential gluons...)



enhanced terms can spoil convergence of perturbative series ⇒ **RESUMMATION**

- \Rightarrow **normalisation** of the cross section
- \Rightarrow reduction of dependence on the **factorisation-scale**
- \Rightarrow can be used to construct higher-order approximations at fixed order in α_s

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

• *tt* **production**

- NLO QCD: Nason et al. '88; Beenakker et al. '89
- NLO EW: Beenakker et al. '94; Bernreuther et al. '95; Kuhn et al. '96;...
- NNLO: in progress Bonciani et al. '10; Czakon '11
- NLL (+NLO): Kidonakis et al. '96; Bonciani et al. '98; Cacciari et al. '08; Moch et al. '08; Kidonakis et al. '08;...
- NNLL, approx. NNLO: <u>Beneke</u>, PF, Klein, Schwinn '09/'10; Ahrens et al. '10; Kidonakis '10; HATHOR Aliev et al. '10

• Squarks, gluinos

- NLO SUSY-QCD: Beenakker et al. '96; PROSPINO, Plehn et al.
- NLO EW: Bornhauser et al. '07; Hollik et al. '07-'10; Gerner et al '10
- NLL/approx. NNLO: Beneke, PF, Schwinn '10; Kulesza/Motyka '09; Beenakker et al. '09/'10; Langenfeld/Moch '09/'10

+ many works on Coulomb resummation (\Leftrightarrow quarkonia physics, $e^-e^+ \rightarrow t\bar{t},...$)

Combined soft and Coulomb resummation

 $\alpha_s/\beta\sim \alpha_s\ln\beta\sim 1\Rightarrow$ modified counting scheme

$$\hat{\sigma}_{pp'} \propto \hat{\sigma}^{(0)} \sum_{k=0} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\beta}\right)^k \exp\left[\underbrace{\ln\beta g_0(\alpha_s \ln\beta)}_{(LL)} + \underbrace{g_1(\alpha_s \ln\beta)}_{(NLL)} + \underbrace{\alpha_s g_2(\alpha_s \ln\beta)}_{(NNLL)} + \dots\right] \times \left\{1 (LL, NLL); \alpha_s, \beta (NNLL); \alpha_s^2, \alpha_s \beta, \beta^2 (NNNLL); \dots\right\}$$

- non-relativistic H, H' and Coulomb gluons: E ~ m_Hβ², |p| ~ m_Hβ
- soft gluons: $q_s \sim m_H \beta^2$

potential and soft modes have the same energy and can "communicate" with each other



イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうき

 \Rightarrow structure of soft-Coulomb emission can be in principle highly non-trivial!

200

Factorisation of pair production near threshold

Effective-theory description of pair production near threshold $\hat{s} \sim (m_H + m_{H'})^2$ [Beneke, PF, Schwinn, '09/'10] \Rightarrow factorization of hard, soft and Coulomb contributions



- <u>hard function</u> *H_i* depends on the **specific physics model and process**
- potential function $J_{R_{\alpha}}$ encodes Coulomb effects ($\sim \alpha_s^n / \beta^n$)
- process-independent <u>soft function</u> $W_i^{R_\alpha}$ (~ $\alpha_s^n \ln^m \beta$) \Rightarrow depends only on **total colour charge** R_α of the pair!

factorization valid up to NNLL and for S-wave production \neg

EFT description of pair-production near threshold

Near threshold ($\beta \ll 1$) partonic cross section receives contributions from <u>four different</u> momentum regions ($M \equiv m_H + m_{H'}$):

• hard: $k^2 \sim M^2$ • potential : $k_0 \sim M\beta^2$, $|\vec{k}| \sim M\beta$ • collinear: $k_- \sim M$, $k_+ \sim M\beta^2$, $k_- \sim M\beta$

full theory matched on an effective Lagrangian from which hard modes are integrated out.

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{full}}
ightarrow \mathcal{L}_{ ext{EFT}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{ ext{SCET}} + \mathcal{L}_{ ext{PNRQCD}}$$

• \mathcal{L}_{SCET} : describes interactions of collinear (ξ_c, A_c) and soft (A_s) modes

• \mathcal{L}_{PNRQCD} : contains interactions of potential (ψ, ψ') and soft (A_s) modes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PNRQCD}} = \psi^{\dagger} \left(i D_s^0 + \frac{\vec{\partial}^2}{2m_H} + \frac{i \Gamma_H}{2} \right) \psi + \psi'^{\dagger} \left(i D_s^0 + \frac{\vec{\partial}^2}{2m_{H'}} + \frac{i \Gamma_{H'}}{2} \right) \psi'$$

$$+ \int d^3 \vec{r} \left[\psi^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R)a} \psi \right] (x + \vec{r}) \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{r} \right) \left[\psi'^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R')a} \psi' \right] (x) + \dots$$

P Falgari (ITF Utrecht)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Structure of EFT amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}(pp' \to HH'X) = \sum_{\ell} C^{(\ell)}_{\{a;\alpha\}}(\mu_f) \langle HH'X|\mathcal{O}^{(\ell)}_{\{a;\alpha\}}(\mu_f)|pp'\rangle_{\text{EFT}}$$

- effective operators O⁽⁰⁾_{{a;α}}(µ_f) ∝ [φ_{c;a1,α1}φ_{c;a2,α2}ψ[†]_{a3α3}ψ[†]_{a4α4}] contain collinear and non-relativistic fields ⇔ long-distance effects.
 Operators with more fields or derivatives suppressed by extra powers of β (not required at NNLL...)
- matrix element evaluated using the EFT Lagrangian ⇒ soft gluons interacting with everything and potential interactions between the two non-relativistic heavy particles
- <u>hard matching coefficient</u> $C_{\{a;\alpha\}}^{(\ell)}(\mu_f)$ encodes short-distance structure of pair-production process at the scale *M*
 - \Rightarrow extracted from <u>fixed-order</u> calculations of on-shell amplitudes
 - $\Rightarrow \text{ decomposed on a suitable basis of colour-state operators:} C^{(\ell)}_{\{a:\alpha\}}(\mu_f) = C^{(\ell,i)}_{\{\alpha\}}(\mu_f)c^{(i)}_{\{a\}}$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆三 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Soft-gluon decoupling

At **leading order in** β soft gluons can be decoupled from the effective Lagrangian via field redefinitions involving <u>soft Wilson lines</u> (**path-order exponentials of soft gluon fields**):

$$\begin{split} \phi_c(x) &\to S_n^{(R)}(x_-)\phi_c^{(0)}(x) \\ \psi(x) &\to S_v^{(R)}(x_0)\psi^{(0)}(x) \qquad S_n^{(R)}(x) = \operatorname{Pexp}\left[ig_s \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \, n \, \cdot A_s^c(x+nt)\mathbf{T}^{(R)c}\right] \\ S_v^{(R)\dagger}(x_0) D_s^0 S_v^{(R)}(x_0) &= \partial^0 \qquad \left[\psi^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}^{(R)a}\psi\right](x+\vec{r}) = S_{v,ab}^8(x_0) \left[\psi^{(0)\dagger}\mathbf{T}^{(R)b}\psi^{(0)}\right](x+\vec{r}) \end{split}$$

upon field redefinition:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{pp'}(\hat{s},\mu_f) \equiv \frac{1}{2s} \int d\Phi |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \sum_{i,i'} \sum_{S=|s-s'|}^{s+s'} \underbrace{H^S_{ii'}(M,\mu_f)}_{\text{hard}} \int d\omega \sum_{R_{\alpha}} \underbrace{J^S_{R_{\alpha}}(E-\frac{\omega}{2})}_{\text{potential}} \underbrace{W^{R_{\alpha}}_{ii'}(\omega,\mu_f)}_{\text{soft}}$$

- $H^{S}_{ii'}(M,\mu_f) \propto C^{(0,i)}_{\{\alpha\}}(M,\mu_f)C^{(0,i')*}_{\{\beta\}}(M,\mu_f)...$
- $J^{S}_{R_{\alpha}}(q) \propto \int d^{4}z e^{iq \cdot z} \langle 0 | [\psi^{'(0)}\psi^{(0)}](z) [\psi^{(0)\dagger}\psi^{'(0)\dagger}](0) | 0 \rangle$
- $W_{ii'}^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega,\mu_f) = P_{\{k\}}^{R_{\alpha}} c_{\{a\}}^{(i)} c_{\{b\}}^{(i')} \int dz_0 e^{i\omega z_0/2} \langle 0|\overline{T}[S_n^{\dagger}S_n^{\dagger}S_{\nu}S_{\nu}](z) T[S_n\bar{S}_nS_{\nu}^{\dagger}S_{\nu}^{\dagger}](0)|0\rangle$

Colour structure of the factorisation formula

The factorisation formula has a priori a non-trivial colour structure

- hard function is a <u>matrix</u> in colour-state space: $H_{ii'} \equiv H_{\{ab\}}c^{(i)}_{\{a\}}c^{(i')*}_{\{b\}}$
- potential function $J_{\{k\}}$ is projected over <u>irreducible representations</u> of the *HH'* system: $J_{\{k\}} = \sum_{R_{\alpha}} P_{\{k\}}^{R_{\alpha}} J_{R_{\alpha}}, \text{ with } R \otimes R' = \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}$
- soft function given by a <u>set of colour matrices</u> $W_{ii'}^{R_{\alpha}}$

Colour basis $c_{\{a\}}^{(i)}$ **can be chosen such that** $W_{ii'}^{R_{\alpha}}$ **are diagonal to all orders in** α_s [Beneke, PF, Schwinn, Nucl.Phys. B828 (2010)]

⇒ decompose initial-state and final-state product representations into irreducible representations:
→ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

$$r \otimes r' = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} \to C^{r_{\alpha}}_{\alpha a_1 a_2} \qquad \qquad R \otimes R' = \sum_{\beta} R_{\beta} \to C^{R_{\beta}}_{\alpha a_1 a_2}$$

- \Rightarrow identify pairs of equivalent initial- and final-state representations $P_i = (r_\alpha, R_\beta)$
- \Rightarrow construct <u>colour basis</u> by contracting the Clebsches into colour-invariant combinations

$$c_{\{a\}}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dim(r_{\alpha})}} C_{\alpha a_1 a_2}^{r_{\alpha}} C_{\alpha a_3 a_4}^{R_{\beta}*} \qquad \qquad P_{\{a\}}^{R_{\alpha}} = C_{\alpha a_1 a_2}^{R_{\alpha}*} C_{\alpha a_3 a_4}^{R_{\alpha}}$$

P Falgari (ITF Utrecht)

Soft/hard resummation in momentum space

IR structure of QCD amplitudes and scale-invariance of the hadronic cross section give RG evolution equations for the soft function $W_i^{R_{\alpha}}$ and the hard function $H_i^{R_{\alpha}}$ (generalisation of DY result [Becher, Neubert, Xu '07] to arbitrary R_{α})

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu_f}W_i^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega,\mu_f) = -2\left[\left(C_r + C_{r'}\right)\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}\ln\left(\frac{\omega}{\mu_f}\right) + 2\gamma_{H,s}^{R_{\alpha}} + 2\gamma_s^{r} + 2\gamma_s^{r'}\right]W_i^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega,\mu_f) - 2\left(C_r + C_{r'}\right)\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}\int_0^{\omega}d\omega'\frac{W_i^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega',\mu_f) - W_i^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega,\mu_f)}{\omega - \omega'}$$

and similar for hard function $H_i(M, \mu_f)$

Resummation strategy $H(M, \mu_h)$ μ_h • Solve evolution equation in
momentum space $H(M, \mu_h)$ μ_h • Evolve the function H_i from the
hard scale μ_h to μ_f $f_1(\mu_f)f_2(\mu_f)H(M, \mu_f)J^{R_\alpha}W^{R_\alpha}(\omega, \mu_f)$ μ_f • Evolve soft function $W_i^{R_\alpha}$ from
a low scale μ_s to μ_f . $W_{ii'}^{R_\alpha}(\omega, \mu_s)$ μ_s

Bad Honnef, 16/02/2011

11/20

P Falgari (ITF Utrecht)

Resummation of Coulomb corrections

Exchange of Coulomb gluons between the pair $H, H': \Delta \sigma^{\text{Coul},(1)} / \sigma^{\text{tree}} \sim \alpha_s / \beta \sim 1$ \Rightarrow Coulomb corrections must be resummed to all orders as well



Resummation of Coulomb effects well understood from **PNRQCD** and <u>quarkonia physics</u>. For *HH'* system in **irreducible representation** R_{α} (and at LO in PNRQCD):

$$J_{R_{\alpha}}(E) = -\frac{(2m_{\text{red}})^2}{2\pi} \text{Im} \left\{ \sqrt{-\frac{E}{2m_{\text{red}}}} + \alpha_s(-D_{R_{\alpha}}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(-\frac{8 m_{\text{red}}E}{\mu_f^2} \right) -\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_E + \psi \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_s(-D_{R_{\alpha}})}{2\sqrt{-E/(2m_{\text{red}})}} \right) \right] \right\} \qquad E \equiv \sqrt{s} - M$$

+ higher-order Coulomb and non-Coulomb potentials at NNLL!

P Falgari (ITF Utrecht)

Bad Honnef, 16/02/2011 12 / 20

<ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 </p>

Squark-antisquark production at the LHC

$$PP
ightarrow ilde{q} ar{ ilde{q}} + X$$

NLL soft resummation and Coulomb resummation to total cross section

$$\hat{\sigma}_{pp'}^{\mathrm{NLL}}(\hat{s},\mu_f) = \sum_i H_i(\mu_h) \ U_i(M,\mu_h,\mu_s,\mu_f)
onumber \ rac{e^{-2\gamma_E\eta}}{\Gamma(2\eta)} \int_0^\infty d\omega \ rac{J_{R_lpha}(E-rac{\omega}{2})}{\omega} \left(rac{\omega}{2M}
ight)^{2\eta}$$

resummed cross section is matched onto the full NLO result [Zerwas et al., '96; Langenfeld, Moch '09]

$$\hat{\sigma}_{pp'}^{\text{match}}(\hat{s},\mu_f) = \left[\hat{\sigma}_{pp'}^{\text{NLL}}(\hat{s},\mu_f) - \hat{\sigma}_{pp'}^{\text{NLL}}(\hat{s},\mu_f)|_{\text{NLO}}\right] + \hat{\sigma}_{pp'}^{\text{NLO}}(\hat{s},\mu_f)$$

P Falgari (ITF Utrecht)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ○ ○ ○

What is a good choice for μ_s , μ_h and μ_C ?

- Hard scale: $\mu_h = 2m_{\tilde{q}}$
- Choose **soft scale** such that one-loop soft corrections to the **hadronic cross section** are minimised [Becher, Neubert, Xu '07]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\mu}_s} \int dx_1 d_2 f(x_1, \bar{\mu}_s) f(x_2, \bar{\mu}_s) \Delta \hat{\sigma}^{S,(1)}(\hat{s}, \bar{\mu}_s) = 0$$

This choice guarantees well-behaved perturbative expansion at the low scale $\bar{\mu}_s$

• Coulomb scale: set by typical virtuality of a Coulomb gluons $\sqrt{|q^2|} \sim m_{\tilde{q}}\beta \sim m_{\tilde{q}}\alpha_s$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_C = \max\{2m_{\tilde{q}}\beta, C_F m_{\tilde{q}}\alpha_s(\mu_C)\}\$$

 \hookrightarrow twice inverse Bohr radius of first bound state

Necessary to correctly resums NLL effects from running of Coulomb potential!

<ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 </p>

Squark-antisquark resummed cross section

Beneke, PF, Schwinn '10

- NLL: full soft and Coulomb resummation (including bound-state contributions from below threshold)
- NLL_{noBS}: soft and Coulomb resummation (but no bound-state contribution)
- $NLL_{s+h} + C$: soft resummation + Coulomb resummation (no interference terms)
- NLL_{s+h}: soft resummation only



Factorisation-scale dependence

One of the motivations for resummation is reduction of scale dependence of NLO result:



All scales varied by a factor 2 around the default values, and uncertainties summed in quadrature

A B A A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Comparison with Mellin-space resummation

[Beenakker et al. JHEP 0912:041, 2009] (Mellin-space formalism, only soft resummation, no Coulomb effects) \Rightarrow compare to our approximation NLL_{s+h} with $\mu_h = \mu_f$

	$\sigma(pp ightarrow ilde{q} ilde{q})$ (pb), $\sqrt{s}=14~{ m TeV}$							
$m_{\tilde{q}}[\text{GeV}]$	NLO	NLL _{Mellin}	NLLs	NLL				
200	1.3×10^{3}	1.31×10^3 (1%)	1.31×10^3 (1%)	$1.34 \times 10^3 (3.4\%)$				
500	1.6×10^{1}	$1.61 \times 10^1 \ (1.2\%)$	$1.62 \times 10^1 \ (1.3\%)$	$1.67 \times 10^1 \ (4.2\%)$				
1000	2.89×10^{-1}	$2.93 \times 10^{-1}(1.7\%)$	$2.94 \times 10^{-1} (1.7\%)$	$3.06 \times 10^{-1}(5.8\%)$				
2000	1.11×10^{-3}	$1.14 \times 10^{-3}(3.4\%)$	$1.14 \times 10^{-3} (3.1\%)$	$1.24 \times 10^{-3} (11\%)$				
3000	7.13×10^{-6}	$7.59 imes 10^{-6}(6.4)\%$	$7.54 \times 10^{-6} (5.8\%)$	$8.61 imes 10^{-6} (21\%)$				

• Good agreement of momentum-space and Mellin-moment resummation

• Full soft-Coulomb resummation generally larger than pure soft resummation!

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

$t\bar{t}$ production at NNLL/NNLO

All ingredients for NNLL resummation of $t\bar{t}$ cross section known

- 1-loop colour-separated hard functions $H_i^{(1)}$ [Czakon, Mitov '09]
- 2-loop soft anomalous dimension [Beneke, PF, Schwinn '09; Czakon, Mitov, Sterman '09]
- NLO Coulomb and non-Coulomb potentials [Beneke, Signer, Smirnov '99]

Can be used to construct approx. NNLO containing all terms singular in β [Beneke, PF, Czakon, Mitov, Schwinn '09; HATHOR Aliev et al. '10]

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{approx.}}^{\text{NLO}} = \frac{k_{\text{LO}}^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left[k_{\text{NLO},1} \ln \beta + k_{\text{NLO},0} \right] + k_{\text{n-C}} \ln \beta + c_{S,4}^{(2)} \ln^4 \beta + c_{S,3}^{(2)} \ln^3 \beta + c_{S,2}^{(2)} \ln^2 \beta + c_{S,1}^{(2)} \ln \beta + H^{(1)} \left[c_{S,2}^{(1)} \ln^2 \beta + c_{S,1}^{(1)} \ln \beta \right] + \frac{k_{\text{LO}}}{\beta} \left[c_{S,2}^{(1)} \ln^2 \beta + c_{S,1}^{(1)} \ln \beta + c_{S,0}^{(1)} + H^{(1)} \right]$$



NNLL/NNLO total $t\bar{t}$ cross section

 $m_t = 173.1 \text{ GeV}, \mu_f = m_t, \text{MSTW2008NNLO}$ Beneke, PF, Klein, Schwinn, **PRELIMINARY!**

$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}[pb]$	Tevatron	LHC@7	LHC@10	LHC@14
NLO	$6.50^{+0.32+0.33}_{-0.70-0.24}$	150^{+18+8}_{-19-8}	380^{+44+17}_{-46-17}	842_{-97-32}^{+97+30}
NLO+NLL	$6.57^{+0.52+0.33}_{-0.30-0.24}$	151^{+23+8}_{-12-8}	382^{+60+17}_{-32-18}	$848_{-75-32}^{+136+30}$
NLO+NNLL	$6.77_{-0.48-0.25}^{+0.27+0.35}$	155^{+4+8}_{-9-9}	390^{+14+17}_{-26-18}	858^{+35+31}_{-64-33}
$NNLO_{app}(\beta)$	$7.10^{+0.0+0.36}_{-0.26-0.26}$	162^{+2+9}_{-3-9}	407^{+9+17}_{-5-18}	895^{+24+31}_{-6-33}
$\mathbf{NNLO}_{\mathbf{app}}(\beta) + \mathbf{NNLL}$	$7.13_{-0.24-0.26}^{+0.22+0.36}$	162^{+4+9}_{-1-9}	405^{+14+17}_{-2-18}	892^{+38+31}_{-3-33}
NNLO _{app} (β) + NNLL + BS	$7.14\substack{+0.14+0.36\\-0.22-0.26}$	162^{+4+9}_{-1-9}	407^{+14+17}_{-2-18}	896^{+38+31}_{-3-33}

- <u>Combined soft-Coulomb resummation</u> for $t\bar{t}$ total cross section
- All scales (μ_f , μ_h , μ_s , μ_c) varied in interval $0.5\tilde{\mu}_i < \mu_i < 2\tilde{\mu}_i$
- Fixed μ_s from minimising Δσ^{NLO}_{soft}: ⇒ μ_s = 85, 146, 174, 202 GeV for Tevatron, LHC@7, LHC@10, LHC@14. No large scale hierarchy
- Residual scale uncertainty for NNLO_{app}(β)+NNLL+BS ~ 5%
 - + estimated ~ 3% uncertainty from $\alpha_s^2 H_i^{(2)}$ contribution

▶ < = > = <> <</p>

Summary

- Factorisation formula for pair-production near threshold in SCET+PNRQCD
 - ⇒ Valid for arbitrary colour representations
 - ⇒ Proves decoupling of **hard**, **soft** and **Coulomb** modes
 - \Rightarrow **Diagonal in colour-space** to all orders in α_s
- Simultaneous resummation of threshold logarithms and Coulomb singularities
 - \Rightarrow Directly in **momentum space** via RG evolution equations
- Application to squark-antisquark production at the LHC
 - \Rightarrow NLL corrections $\sim 4 20\%$ for $m_{\tilde{q}} \sim 200 \text{GeV} 3 \text{TeV}$
 - \Rightarrow Reduction of factorisation-scale dependence
- NNLL resummation of *tt* total cross section
 - \Rightarrow All $O(\alpha_s^2)$ terms singular in β included
 - \Rightarrow NNLL corrections beyond NNLO very small

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Backup slides

P Falgari (ITF Utrecht)

Bad Honnef, 16/02/2011 21 / 20

3

590

Potential corrections at NNLL

HO Coulomb and non-Coulomb corrections in PNRQCD required at NNLL/NNLO

• Coulomb potential:

$$\tilde{V}_{\rm C}^{(1)}(\vec{p},\vec{q}) = \frac{D_{R_{\alpha}}\alpha_s^2}{|\vec{q}|^2} \left(a_1 - \beta_0 \ln \frac{|\vec{q}|}{\mu^2}\right)$$

• Non-Coulomb potentials:

$$\tilde{V}_{nC}^{(1)}(\vec{p},\vec{q}) = \frac{4\pi D_{R_{\alpha}} \alpha_s}{|\vec{q}|^2} \left[\frac{\pi \alpha_s |\vec{q}|}{4m} \left(\frac{D_{R_{\alpha}}}{2} + C_A \right) + \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{m^2} + \frac{|\vec{q}|^2}{m^2} v_{\text{spin}} \right]$$
$$v_{\text{spin}} = 0(\text{singlet}), -\frac{2}{3}(\text{triplet})$$

contribution to NNLO total cross section

$$\Delta \sigma_{\rm nC}^{\rm NNLO} = \sigma^{(0)} \alpha_s^2 \ln \beta \left[D_{R_\alpha}^2 (1 + v_{\rm spin}) + D_{R_\alpha}) C_A \right]$$

イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうき

At NNLL subleading soft vertices in SCET and PNRQCD potentially important

$$\psi^{\dagger} \vec{x} \cdot \vec{E}_{\rm us}(x_0, \vec{0}) \psi \qquad \qquad \bar{\xi} \left(x_{\perp}^{\mu} n_{-}^{\nu} W_c g F_{\mu\nu}^{us} W_c^{\dagger} \right) \frac{\not \!\!\!/ + \xi}{2} \xi$$

Subleading soft interactions not removed by field redefinitions \Rightarrow related to off-diagonal three-parton colour correlations in IR singularities of QCD amplitudes (Ferroglia, Neubert, Pecjak, Yang '09)



$$\Rightarrow \frac{\alpha_s}{\beta} \alpha_s \beta \ln \beta \sim \alpha_s^2 \ln \beta$$

Contributions of subleading soft-collinear and softpotential vertices vanish for the total cross section!

- Soft-collinear: k_{\perp} can always be chosen to be 0
- **Soft-potential**: vanish because of rotational invariance

Contribution of threshold-enhanced terms

At LHC $\sqrt{s} \gg 2m_t \Rightarrow$ **How good is the threshold approximation?** can study the approximation at the NLO level...

Plot $8\beta m_t^2/(s(1-\beta^2)^2)\mathcal{L}_{gg}(\beta)\hat{\sigma}_{tt}(\beta)$:

- NLO: exact NLO result
- **NLO sing.**: only singular terms in β
- NLO approx.: singular terms + O(1) term ($\Leftrightarrow H_i^{(1)}$)



NLO sing. is good approximation only up to $\beta \sim 0.3$ However: expect NNLO approximation to be better (more singular terms at $O(\alpha_s^2)$)

Alternative approaches

• Pair invariant-mass distribution $d\sigma(t\bar{t} + X)/dM_{t\bar{t}}$ [Kidonakis, Sterman '97; Ahrens et al. '10]

$$\left[\frac{\ln^n(1-z)}{(1-z)}\right]_+ \qquad \qquad z = \frac{M_{t\bar{t}}^2}{\hat{s}}$$

 One-particle inclusive cross section dσ(t + X)/ds₄: [Laenen, Oderda, Sterman '98; Kidonakis '10]

$$\left[\frac{\ln^n(s_4/m_t^2)}{s_4}\right] \qquad \qquad s_4 = p_X^2 -$$

	$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}[pb]$	Tevatron	LHC@7	LHC@10	LHC@14
BFKS	NLO	$6.50^{+0.32+0.33}_{-0.70-0.24}$	150^{+18+8}_{-19-8}	380^{+44+17}_{-46-17}	842_{-97-32}^{+97+30}
	NLO+NNLL	$6.77_{-0.48-0.25}^{+0.27+0.35}$	155^{+4+8}_{-9-9}	390^{+14+17}_{-26-18}	858_{-64-33}^{+35+31}
	$NNLO(\beta)$	$7.10^{+0.0+0.36}_{-0.26-0.26}$	162^{+2+9}_{-3-9}	407^{+9+17}_{-5-18}	895_{-6-33}^{+24+31}
Kidonakis '10	$NNLO(\beta)$	$7.08\substack{+0.0+0.36\\-0.24-0.27}$	163^{+7+9}_{-5-9}	415^{+17+18}_{-21-19}	920^{+50+33}_{-39-35}
Ahrens et al. '10	NLO+NNLL	$6.48^{+0.17+0.32}_{-0.21-0.25}$	146^{+7+8}_{-7-8}	368^{+20+19}_{-14-15}	813^{+50+30}_{-36-35}
	$NNLO(\beta)$	$6.55\substack{+0.32+0.33\\-0.41-0.24}$	149^{+10+8}_{-9-8}	377^{+28+16}_{-23-18}	832_{-50-29}^{+65+31}

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > 二 注 の < 0</p>

 m_t^2